

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

3 - - -

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Plaintiff,

6 VS.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:15-cr-3

7 JONATHAN SCHRADER,

TESTIMONY OF GREG PERRY

8 Defendant.

9 - - -

10 Excerpt of the proceedings had in the plea hearing of the
11 above-styled action on April 6, 2015, before Honorable John S.
12 Kaull, Magistrate Judge, at Elkins, West Virginia.

13 - - -

14 APPEARANCES:

15 On behalf of the United States of America:

16 Paul T. Camilletti, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorney
17 217 West King Street, Suite 400
Martinsburg, West Virginia 26003
18 (304) 262-0590

19 On behalf of the Defendant:

20 Brian J. Kornbrath, Esq.
Federal Public Defender
21 230 West Pike Street, Suite 360
Clarksburg, West Virginia 26302
22 (304) 622-3823

23 The Defendant was present in person.

24 Proceedings recorded utilizing tape.
25 Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1 MR. CAMILLETTI: Your Honor, we'll call Special Agent
2 Greg Perry.

3 THE COURT: Special Agent Perry, if you'll come
4 forward, be sworn by the clerk and then assume the witness
5 chair.

6 GREG PERRY, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN

7 THE COURT: Mr. Camilletti, you may inquire.

8 MR. CAMILLETTI: Thank you.

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. CAMILLETTI:

11 Q. All right, sir. In a nice loud voice, would you tell The
12 Court your name.

13 A. Gregory R. Perry.

14 Q. And your occupation?

15 A. I'm a special agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco
16 and Firearms.

17 Q. Where are you posted?

18 A. Clarksburg, West Virginia.

19 Q. Have you become familiar with the investigation involving
20 this defendant, Mr. Jonathan Schrader?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. How did Mr. Schrader come to your attention?

23 A. On February the 9th, 2015, I got a call from Randolph
24 County Sheriff Mark Brady. And Mark told me that one of his
25 deputies was transporting a juvenile to the Chick Buckbee

1 Juvenile Detention Center in Romney, West Virginia. The
2 juvenile's name was Jordan Sayre.

3 Sheriff Brady went on to tell me that Mr. Sayre had told
4 his deputy on the way to the detention center that a couple of
5 friends of his, Luke Hempel, who is another juvenile, and
6 Jonathan Schrader had in their possession some C4. Mr. Sayre
7 went on to explain that Luke Hempel had stolen the C4 from his
8 father, John Hempel, and had transferred that C4 to Jonathan
9 Schrader.

10 Mr. Sayre went on to say that Hempel and Schrader had
11 devised a plan to utilize the C4 to blow up the federal
12 building that we're here in today, and the Davis Trust Bank in
13 Elkins, West Virginia.

14 The following day, a local FBI agent out of Romney, West
15 Virginia, went and spoke with Juvenile Sayre, and Sayre
16 maintained the same story that he had told the deputy the day
17 before.

18 A couple of days later, February the 12th, 2015, myself,
19 Trooper Keplinger, FBI Agent Farrell -- no, strike that -- just
20 myself and Trooper Keplinger went and interviewed John Hempel
21 and -- to inquire about whether or not any of this could
22 possibly be true. Mr. Hempel advised that in fact he did have
23 some C4 at one time. Two, one-and-one-quarter pound box of C4.
24 But contended that he didn't think his son, Luke Hempel, had
25 gotten it because he remembered that he destroyed the C4

1 approximately ten years ago by burning it.

2 I asked Mr. Hempel if he would give me permission to go
3 interview his son, who was currently at the Lorrie Yeager Youth
4 Detentional -- Youth Detention Center in Parkersburg, West
5 Virginia.

6 Myself, Trooper Keplinger, who is a bomb tech with the
7 West Virginia State Police, and Special Agent Farrell of the
8 FBI, on the 13th of February went to the juvenile correctional
9 facility in Parkersburg and interviewed Luke Hempel.

10 Luke, among other things, told us that his dad did have C4
11 and a number of other types of explosives. And that
12 Jonathan -- well, he claimed that Jonathan Schrader was very
13 familiar with his residence. In fact, Jonathan Schrader used
14 to baby-sit him when he was a child and was very familiar with
15 his father's residence. And went on to say that Johnson
16 Schrader had actually stolen a couple of block -- two blocks of
17 C4, along with some blasting caps, and denied any involvement
18 in taking the explosives himself. He went on to tell me that
19 he believed that Jonathan Schrader had hidden the C4 at his
20 mother's house on Diamond Street in Elkins, in an outbuilding
21 directly behind Daddy's Day Care.

22 Q. Did you obtain a search warrant to search that location?

23 A. Yes. A federal search warrant was obtained and executed
24 on the 13th of February.

25 Q. At the location described to you?

1 A. At 66 Diamond Street in Elkins, West Virginia, Cheryl
2 Worch's residence, who is Jonathan Schrader's mother.

3 Q. Did you encounter this defendant, Mr. Jonathan Schrader,
4 at or during the execution of the search warrant?

5 A. Yes. I'm sorry.

6 Q. I was going to say, did you inquire of him regarding any
7 C4?

8 A. I did. I introduced myself to Mr. Schrader and asked him
9 to tell me where he had hidden the C4. Initially, he denied
10 any knowledge of the C4 but quickly said, I'm sorry, I do know
11 what you're talking about and I'll take you there and point it
12 out to you.

13 Q. Did he do that?

14 A. He did that. He rode back in our vehicle. When we got
15 back to Ms. Worch's residence, I introduced him to Trooper
16 Keplinger and advised him, Trooper Keplinger, that Mr. Schrader
17 was going to point out the location of the C4. And he did.

18 Q. Did you seize the C4?

19 A. Trooper Keplinger seized the C4, 49 slip-on boosters and
20 two electric blast caps.

21 Q. The slip-on boosters and electric blasting caps are other
22 material associated with the explosive device?

23 A. The -- yes, they are. They are -- they are separate
24 explosive devices. The C4 itself is very stable and hard to
25 get to go boom. A blasting cap won't do it in and of itself

1 generally. Therefore, you need a slip-on booster to utilize in
2 conjunction with the blasting cap in order to detonate the C4.

3 Q. Can you describe the C4 that was seized on February 13th,
4 please?

5 A. Yes. It was a military grade M112 block of C4,
6 approximately one and one-quarter pound. And then there was a
7 half bar of the same material, military grade M112 C4, 49
8 slip-on boosters and two caps, two electric blasting caps.

9 Q. Did you speak to Mr. Schrader about the items that were
10 seized?

11 A. At length.

12 Q. And did he advise you of their origin, so far as he knew?

13 A. Yes. Mr. Schrader said that Luke Hempel had stolen those
14 from his father, John Hempel, and brought them over to Nate
15 Nine's residence one evening, a location where Mr. Schrader had
16 been staying, and traded them to Mr. Schrader in exchange for
17 the promise for Mr. Schrader to pay Mr. Hempel eight to \$900
18 cash, and buy him a 1911 pistol in exchange for the explosives,
19 plus a Smith & Wesson .9-millimeter pistol.

20 Q. Did you further explore the two stories regarding
21 Mr. Schrader's theft or young Mr. Hempel's theft of the C4
22 explosives?

23 A. Yes. I asked Mr. Schrader, you know, are you -- you know,
24 are you sure you didn't go in the residence and take the
25 explosives? Because I've got some information that that's the

1 way it went down. Mr. Schrader denied that, said that, you
2 know, he had gotten it directly from Luke. Luke had stolen it
3 from his daddy, brought it over to the residence. He obtained
4 it in ex -- you know, in exchange for his promise to pay the
5 money and provide Luke with this special 1911 pistol.

6 Mr. Schrader even went on to say that when Luke had left,
7 he and Nate Nine had discussed the explosives, and Nate
8 suggested that he get rid of some of it. And he, in fact, took
9 a load, a plastic baggie full of electric blasting caps, and he
10 threw them into the river.

11 Q. Did you investigate -- in the course of your
12 investigation, did you examine the lockboxes where the C4
13 explosive and other materials had been stored?

14 A. Yes. The -- the first interview I did -- that Trooper
15 Keplinger and I did with Mr. Hempel, we asked him if he would
16 show us his magazines. He was very reluctant but ultimately
17 agreed to do that. And he said that he didn't have anything in
18 there that he knew of.

19 And when we got up there, he had three magazines and a day
20 box out in the woods behind his house. Well, the day box
21 didn't have a padlock on it. And the other two magazines had
22 padlocks, but one of the padlocks looked like it had been cut
23 almost in two with a bolt cut -- set of bolt cutters.

24 And the other magazine had a cheap laminated padlock, not
25 sufficient or conducive to being put on an explosive magazine,

1 which was kind of odd. And it was newer, which led me to
2 wonder whether that lock had been cut off and replaced with
3 this temporary, cheap lock.

4 But long story short, we were ultimately able to look in
5 the magazines. Trooper Keplinger seized 62 emulsion sticks,
6 one pound emulsion sticks; 129 electric blasting capping caps;
7 76 and a half feet of detonation cord; and 25 bunch blocks.

8 Q. That's a seizure on February 12, 2015?

9 A. That's right.

10 Q. And separate from the execution of the search warrant on
11 February 13?

12 A. Separate from that. That was just in our -- we were in
13 our infancy in the investigation trying to establish the
14 existence of this C4.

15 Q. Did you confront Mr. Schrader, the defendant, regarding
16 whether he knew the C4 was stolen?

17 A. I did. And there was really no issue with regard to that.
18 His position was yeah, he knew it was stolen and that Luke had
19 stolen it from his father, John Hempel, and had transferred it
20 to him.

21 Q. Do you know where these items, the C4 that was seized,
22 where that was manufactured?

23 A. Yes, I do. In fact, folks from our -- our bomb data
24 center traced the C4 and determined that it was manufactured in
25 Shreveport, Louisiana.

1 Q. All right. So during the execution of the search warrant,
2 Mr. Schrader acknowledged knowing the location of the C4,
3 showed you the location of the C4, acknowledged that it was
4 stolen, and you seized the C4?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. It has moved in interstate commerce prior to its seizure
7 on February 13th?

8 A. It would have to have been collected on Diamond Street.

9 Q. Your investigation continued after that point?

10 A. It did.

11 Q. Have you been able to confirm or refute the initial
12 allegation that there was a threat on the federal building?

13 A. I have certainly not been able to confirm that. Trooper
14 Keplinger and I have interviewed a number of people in this
15 case trying to get to the bottom of that issue and trying to
16 get to the bottom of where's the other half of the C4 block,
17 and perhaps is there more. And we have not been able to
18 confirm at all that there was a plan to blow up anything by
19 Mr. Schrader.

20 In fact, everybody that we've spoken to has denied knowing
21 anything about a plan that Mr. Schrader was involved in to blow
22 up the federal building.

23 Q. The information in that regard came from the initial
24 interview by a juvenile to a Randolph County sheriff's deputy?

25 A. And followed up with an FBI agent interview on two

1 different occasions.

2 MR. CAMILLETI: That's all the questions I have,
3 Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Kornbrath.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. KORNBRATH:

7 Q. Agent Perry, you would agree that when the criminal case
8 was first brought to court, it was by complaint, not
9 indictment?

10 A. Yes, I would agree with that.

11 Q. And that was the February 14th, 2015, complaint that was
12 prepared by the local FBI agent you spoke of, right?

13 A. Yeah. A day after I interviewed Mr. Schrader, the
14 following day, Valentine's Day.

15 Q. And it was that complaint that had those very serious
16 allegations, and I'm quoting, plans were afoot to detonate C4
17 explosives in front of the Elkins federal courthouse, also
18 known as the Jennings Randolph Federal Center, and then take up
19 sniper positions to shoot down first responders following the
20 detonation.

21 That was the allegation that the juvenile made, right?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And on its face, it's very serious so local, state and
24 federal agents investigated it; correct?

25 A. Big deal.

1 Q. All right. And just to confirm your position, as of
2 today, you do not believe that that allegation has merit or
3 credibility?

4 A. I don't think it has either.

5 Q. Okay. And that includes not only a plan to blow up this
6 very building, but also the follow-up plan to shoot first
7 responders, right?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. All right. Luke Hempel, the juvenile, is presently facing
10 criminal charges, correct?

11 A. I think -- actually, I think he, according to a message
12 that was left at our office by his father that I received via a
13 text message while I was on vacation last week, apparently he's
14 pled guilty in state court to stealing firearms from his
15 father. I don't know that to be true, but that's what his
16 father claimed.

17 Q. Okay. Did he tell you how many firearms the son took from
18 the dad?

19 A. It's, I think, approximately 21 or 22 firearms, in that
20 range.

21 Q. All right. So there are at least allegations out there
22 that Luke has taken things from his father in the past?

23 A. Well, Luke told me that he had taken his dad's guns.

24 Q. Okay. When the search warrant was executed in February at
25 Mr. Schrader's mother's house, he wasn't there at the time,

1 right?

2 A. He was not there at the time.

3 Q. Did you get information that he lived locally in Elkins
4 and then you went and talked to him?

5 A. Yeah. Luke had told me that he lived in a building in the
6 vicinity of Third Ward -- the old Third Ward schoolhouse. And
7 I asked -- once I talked to Ms. Worch, when we initially made
8 contact with her to execute the warrant, and she told me that,
9 you know, her son didn't live with her, you know, they were
10 sort of estranged from one another, but thought he was staying
11 somewhere in town, I asked one of the marshals, Terry Moore, to
12 see if he could go down in the vicinity where we believe he had
13 been living and see if he could find him.

14 In a short bit of time, he was able to do that and called
15 me on the phone and said we've got him here. And I said I'll
16 be right down.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. So that's how that unfolded.

19 Q. All right. And you met with Mr. Schrader first at the
20 place he was staying?

21 A. I met with him at the Third Ward schoolhouse where he was
22 staying. Introduced myself. And he could see it was a big
23 deal. I mean, we had agents from a number of federal agencies,
24 state and local, and he -- you know, he saw that it was an
25 issue. And fairly quickly told me that, yeah, I do have the

1 C4, I know where it's at, I'll take you where it's at and show
2 you.

3 Q. That's what caused you all to go back to the mother's
4 house, correct?

5 A. Correct, with Mr. Schrader in tow.

6 Q. Did Mr. Schrader walk the law enforcement officer over to
7 where the stuff was being stored?

8 A. Yes. When we pulled in, I immediately introduced him to
9 Trooper Keplinger, because he's really the only one qualified
10 there to handle explosives. So I introduced him to Trooper
11 Keplinger, and Trooper Keplinger took him into the outbuilding.
12 And I didn't go in there. But Trooper Keplinger took him in
13 there. Mr. Schrader pointed out, you know, it's there and
14 there. And went on to say that, you know, there should be
15 three caps and two blocks of C4.

16 There -- there ended up not being what he thought was
17 there.

18 Q. Right.

19 A. But in any event, there were -- you know, there was C4
20 recovered.

21 Q. Do you know whether the C4 and the blasting caps and
22 the -- what was the other term?

23 A. The slip-on boosters.

24 Q. -- the boosters, were they all stored together or were
25 they kind of separate in the same area?

1 A. I didn't see the -- I didn't see it, but I think they were
2 generally in the same proximity with one another.

3 Q. But were they in the same, like, container or were they
4 like feet part?

5 A. I don't know. I don't know the answer to that.

6 Q. Okay. You spoke to Mr. Schrader at length?

7 A. Spoke to him at length that night about, of course, the
8 explosives issue.

9 Q. Right.

10 A. And there were -- there's sort of a second part to this
11 case with regard to the stolen firearms. He was -- he was part
12 of that as well. So we had a very long conversation, I would
13 say two to three hours.

14 Q. And you recorded it, right? It was an audio recording.

15 A. It was recorded, yes, it was.

16 Q. All right. At the end of the investigation that evening,
17 Mr. Schrader was allowed to leave, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. He was not taken into custody?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Was he driven back to his residence?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. All right. And I think it was maybe within 24 hours that
24 the federal complaint was obtained?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And then he was arrested?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. In that short window of time, was there any reason to
4 believe he tried to like leave Elkins or flee the area?

5 A. No. Initially -- initially, when we were prepping to
6 execute the warrant, in speaking to the United States
7 Attorney's Office, we were told that there was not going to be
8 an arrest warrant issued.

9 Q. All right. That's --

10 A. And I told Mr. Schrader that, he was not going to be
11 arrested. The next day, the United States Attorney's Office
12 decided to obtain an arrest warrant.

13 Q. Do you think that decision was made, at least in part on
14 the -- no pun intended -- explosive allegations that this
15 building was targeted?

16 A. Probably.

17 Q. And it was only after that that you had come to find out
18 that allegation had no merit or basis?

19 A. Yeah. I truly don't know the real reason why the criminal
20 complaint was obtained.

21 Q. All right.

22 A. I assume that that had something to do with it. They
23 didn't ask me.

24 Q. Right.

25 A. So I don't know.

1 Q. All right.

2 MR. KORNBATH: I have nothing else. Thank you.

3 MR. CAMILLETTI: No further questions, Your Honor.

4 May the witness is step down?

5 THE COURT: No.

6 MR. CAMILLETTI: Okay.

7 EXAMINATION

8 BY THE COURT:

9 Q. What would your response have been had they asked you?

10 A. I would have said not to arrest him.

11 And, Judge, I don't mean to interrupt you, but the reason
12 that I would have said that is because Mr. Schrader was
13 extremely cooperative that night and had agreed to take a
14 polygraph exam, had suggested to take a polygraph exam in order
15 for me to try to get to the bottom of the missing C4 and
16 whether or not there was truly a plot to blow up anything and I
17 knew if he had gotten arrested, I wouldn't ever have the
18 opportunity to do that.

19 So from an investigative standpoint, I would have been
20 opposed to arresting him from that aspect.

21 Q. The missing C4, what is the basis for stating that you
22 believe there was missing C4?

23 A. Well, Luke Hempel said there was two blocks of C4. John
24 Hempel, the owner of the C4, said he had two,
25 one-and-a-quarter-pound blocks of C4. Two full intact blocks.

1 Jonathan Schrader said there was two full blocks of C4. We
2 recovered a block and a half from Ms. Worch's residence. So at
3 a minimum, there's a half a block of C4 still missing.

4 I asked Mr. Schrader about where that C4 was. He believed
5 that Luke Hempel had gotten mad at him because Jonathan
6 Schrader didn't live up to his end of the bargain in the deal
7 to obtain the explosives. In other words, he never paid him
8 the eight or \$900 he promised to pay him, nor had he bought him
9 the pistol that he promised to get him in exchange for the
10 explosives.

11 So Mr. Schrader's belief was that Luke Hempel had gotten
12 mad at him because of that and had gone in and stolen a half a
13 block.

14 My response to Mr. Schrader was, why wouldn't he have
15 taken it all if he was ticked off at all? That doesn't make
16 any sense. That was something that I hoped to reapproach
17 Mr. Schrader on the next day or the following day, perhaps with
18 a polygraph exam, but was never able to do.

19 Q. This missing C4, are there also missing blasting caps, det
20 cord and the other connectors you talked about?

21 A. There's -- we believe that there was probably 50 slip-on
22 boosters, a key component to detonating C4. We recovered 49 of
23 them.

24 Mr. Schrader said he had three blasting caps left. He
25 claims he dumped a whole bag of them in the river, but he kept

1 three. We only recovered two, so that means that one blasting
2 cap, one slip-on booster and a half a block of C4 is missing.
3 The three ingredients you need to -- to utilize the C4.

4 My -- my -- sort of my opinion the whole time was that
5 Mr. Schrader, and even Jordan Sayre, the juvenile who got the
6 whole thing started, said that Jonathan Schrader had done a dry
7 run up on Rich Mountain utilizing some of the explosives. Now,
8 I asked Mr. Schrader that and he denied that. But that's
9 something else that I hoped to reapproach Mr. Schrader on with
10 a polygraph or another follow-up interview.

11 But you know, when I left him that night, he was -- he had
12 not come off ever utilizing any of the explosives and not
13 having any knowledge where the missing C4 was. But
14 Mr. Schrader said, I'm willing to take a polygraph. I'll
15 cooperate in any way I can, which, as an investigator, that's
16 something you want to hear.

17 So you know, that could have been something that could
18 have been explored with the use of a polygraph examination.

19 Q. Did Mr. Schrader tell you in any of the interviews that
20 you conducted with him why he wanted the C4 in the first place?

21 A. He said he just wanted it. In a twisted way, he wanted
22 it. He also said that at the same time that he wanted it, he
23 didn't want to see his 17-year-old child in possession of this.
24 So he felt it more prudent to take it into his own possession
25 rather than let a 17-year-old kid have it.

1 He also said he had a fascination with wood and that he
2 had cut wood his whole life and that he wanted to utilize
3 explosive material to split and quarter a tree, to see if he
4 could in fact cut the tree up in small usable sections with
5 explosives.

6 Mr. Schrader and I talked at length about him actually
7 using it. He said, I wanted to, but I never did.

8 Q. The father of Hempel, what was he doing with military
9 grade C4?

10 A. Well, when Trooper Keplinger and I first interviewed him,
11 he said that some governmental employee had dropped it off at
12 his house years ago but he couldn't remember who that was or
13 who they worked for.

14 The second time we interviewed him he said that he was
15 conducting a training seminar on Rich Mountain and that a
16 student had brought two blocks of C4 to the class and asked him
17 if he would incorporate it into the class.

18 Mr. Hempel claims that he utilized one of the bars and had
19 kept the other bar for a period of time and then destroyed it.

20 Q. So there should have been no C4?

21 A. There should have been no C4.

22 Q. That obviously isn't true.

23 A. That's right.

24 Q. Did he explain why he had boxes to store this stuff in?

25 A. Well, he owns a company called EEI Geophysical and he does

1 work in sort of the explosives field. He claims that, for
2 instance, a typical job that he would do would be in a cave
3 where people spelunk in a cave. And there could be a rock that
4 needs removed and a blasting agent or a blaster would be called
5 in to do that job. He claims that that's one of the things
6 that is his specialty.

7 Now, I asked our licensing division of ATF to query
8 whether or not he held a license with ATF to possess
9 explosives. And he didn't. Trooper Keplinger checked with the
10 fire marshal in the state of West Virginia to see if he had a
11 valid state license, and he doesn't.

12 Q. Are all the -- well, some questions dealing with the
13 firearms.

14 Were you able to account for the alleged 21 firearms?

15 A. No. I believe that we have nine of the 22 firearms in
16 hand, recovered. There's another one that's -- that I know
17 where it's at, it's out west, that I'll end up getting. The
18 rest of them are unaccounted for, are into the wind at this
19 point.

20 Q. Did the elder Mr. Hempel report to the police the ongoing
21 theft of his firearms?

22 A. Yes. He did. He -- it took him a while to even realize
23 that guns were missing from his residence. And he had spoken
24 to the sheriff's department a time or two about these stolen
25 guns.

1 And I believe around the 9th of February, Luke Hempel
2 actually broke into his father's house and stole an additional
3 11 of the 22 guns on the night in February. And then of course
4 he reported that theft to the sheriff's department. And out of
5 those, the 11 plus another additional 11, a total of 22, nine
6 are in hand. One's out west, which will be in my custody
7 eventually.

8 Q. I take it Mr. Schrader was never held -- never had any of
9 the guns?

10 A. He had four of the guns.

11 Q. Had four of the guns?

12 A. He had four. He took two to the gun shop in Elkins, which
13 is an FFL, and sold them. And he took the other two to
14 Phillips & Sons pawn shop on the other end of Elkins and sold
15 those. And I have three of those four. There's a Colt .38
16 revolver that's out west that's --

17 Q. That's the one that's missing.

18 A. Yeah. A local gentleman bought it and gave it to his
19 son-in-law as a gift who lives out west.

20 THE COURT: Does my questions invoke any additional
21 questions by counsel?

22 MR. CAMILLETI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

23 MR. KORNBRATH: Just briefly.

24 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. KORNBRATH:

1 Q. During your interview, Mr. Schrader actually gave you the
2 documentation for the sales?

3 A. He did, documenting his sale of those four firearms to
4 those two different places.

5 Q. And his position was basically I needed money so I pawned
6 these?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. Thank you.

9 THE COURT: I'm disturbed that I was asked to issue a
10 warrant for arrest when the officers weren't all on board with
11 that decision. All right. You may step down. Thank you.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.

13 (Excerpt concluded at 11:56 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Linda S. Mullen, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Official Reporter of the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct excerpted transcript to the best of my ability of the taped proceedings had in the above-styled action on April 6, 2015, as reported by me in stenotypy.

I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the United States.

Given under my had this 17th day of April, 2015.

/s/ Linda S. Mullen
Linda S. Mullen, RDR, CRR
Official Reporter, United States
District Court for the Northern
District of West Virginia

Linda Mullen, RDR, CRR